

PERFORMANCE		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Excellent	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2004			

		Our School			High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	69.7	49.2	32.8	54.1	52.6	50.3	
Passed 2 subtests	6.1	16.9	26.2	20.9	22.1	21.4	
Passed 1 subtest	10.6	11.9	26.2	14.8	14.2	15.5	
Passed no subtests	13.6	22.0	13.1	10.2	11.0	12.4	

		n Passage pring 2003	Eligibility Scholar		Graduati	on Rate
	n	%	n	%	n	%
All Students	56	92.9	64	4.7	77	68.8
Gender						
Male	25	88.0	32	3.1	41	63.4
Female	31	96.8	32	6.3	36	75.0
Race or Ethnic Group						
African American	41	90.2	50	2.0	62	66.1
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
White	15	100.0	14	14.3	15	80.0
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Disability Status						
Non-speech disabilities	N/A	N/A	9	0.0	12	8.3
Students without disabilities	56	92.9	55	5.5	65	80.0
Migrant Status						
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	64	4.7	0	N/A
English Proficiency						
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-LEP	56	92.9	64	4.7	77	68.8
Lunch Status						
Subsidized meals	37	94.6	41	7.3	49	67.3
Full-pay meals	19	89.5	23	0.0	28	71.4

Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
4.7	4.3
47	4.3
39.1	38.6
	4.7

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Timmonsville High		
		2104041

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 330)				
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	10.1%	7.3%
Attendance rate	94.6%	Up from 94.2%	95.6%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	No change	3.5%	5.1%
With disabilities other than speech	12.6%	No change	13.9%	12.2%
Older than usual for grade	14.8%	Up from 14.1%	15.0%	10.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.3%	No change	2.5%	2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	11.5%	N/A	N/A	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	9.5%	Up from 8.1%	2.6%	2.7%
	1.8%	Down from 4.7%	2.1%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	163	Down from 252	319	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	20.5%	Down from 41.8%	22.0%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	79.3%	Up from 72.4%	74.9%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	98.1%	Down from 98.6%	98.9%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 30)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	36.7%	Up from 25.0%	42.2%	51.7%
Continuing contract teachers	46.7%	Down from 50.0%	77.3%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	82.1%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	91.6%	Down from 94.0%	95.2%	95.8%
	\$37,829	Up 4.6%	\$38,320	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	7.3 days	Up from 5.6 days	11.8 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	No change	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	9.6 to 1	Up from 7.6 to 1	23.6 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	81.5%	Down from 84.7%	88.6%	90.1%
	\$7,532	Up 19.5%	\$7,378	\$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	63.1%	Up from 61.5%	55.6%	57.8%
	Fair	Down from Good	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	Up from 44.2%	85.8%	87.8%
SACS accreditation	no	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample
--------------------------------------	------------------	-------------------------

Timmonsville High 2104041

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The Timmonsville Comprehensive High School had a busy and productive 2002-2003 school year. We accomplished much, but much remains to be accomplished.

Programs were provided for students that failed the Exit Exam. Classes were provided for students that scored below basic on PACT. Additional instruction was given to students to increase SAT scores.

The Parent-Teacher-Student Association along with the Community for Learners has increased parental involvement. The School Improvement Council played an integral part in the increased parental involvement.

The faculty and the administration will continue to review, revise, align and realign the curriculum to the South Carolina State Standards. We realize this is a continued work in progress.

With your support in the educational process of your children, we will continue to improve. We have your children's education as our focus.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS				
	Teachers	Students	Parents	
Number of surveys returned	22	47	40	
Percent satisfied with learning environment	68.2%	63.0%	63.2%	
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	81.8%	70.2%	51.3%	
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	13.6%	83.0%	72.5%	

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.